

**ISO 26000 PPO SAG**
Social Responsibility

Handled by, phone

Ludvig Hubendick, +46 72 250 90 72

Eduardo Campos de São Thiago

E-mail

ludvig.hubendick@sis.se

edu.thiago@abnt.org.br

**PPO Protocol:
Prioritized areas for revision of ISO 26000:2010****Background**

The first systematic review of ISO 26000:2010 was conducted between 15 October 2013 and 15 March 2014. One of the main tasks of ISO 26000 Post Publication Organisation (PPO) is to analyze the results from the systematic review and, after consultation with the PPO Stakeholder Advisory Group (SAG), provide a recommendation to ISO TMB.

PPO recommended that ISO 26000:2010 should be confirmed and ISO TMB, having consulted many others as well, decided to confirm the standard and invited for a new systematic review within 3 years.

PPO decided to compile some of the major findings of the first systematic review and create a document that explains some of the identified future areas of possible revisions. This document was adopted by PPO after consulting PPO SAG. It is a voluntary document and it is, thus, up to those mandated in future revisions of ISO 26000:2010 to use this document in any way they see fit.

To date, the following areas have been identified as relevant to be considered in the next revision of ISO 26000:2010.

	Area	Examples
1	Make ISO 26000 shorter and easier to read/use by for example SMEs and NGOs, without diminishing the guidance provided	Decrease duplicate texts, e.g. on sphere of influence.
2	Improve guidance on organizational governance	Explain the links between the different components of organizational governance, with management systems, and to quality management.
3	Improve guidance on how to identify and work on relevant, significant and prioritized issues	Improve guidance on how to consider local and global priorities that are of general interest
4	Improve guidance on risk management	Consider connection to ISO 31000
5	Include guidance for organization during times of war/conflict	Clarify that ISO 26000 guidance and social responsibility does not change in times of conflict but that levels of significance and priorities may change.
6	Improve guidance on health	Consider, for example, ergonomics
7	Improve guidance on economic issues and how it connects to social responsibility	Introduce more guidance on economic impacts, for example relocation, taxes, quality, economic interests of internal and

		external stakeholders, taking into account short term and long term impacts
8	Improve guidance on how to understand and apply international norms of behavior	
9	Revise clause 7 in line with Annex SL for MSS.	Revise clause 7 to align with Annex SL to facilitate users of ISO 26000 that want to integrate social responsibility in their existing management system(s).
10	Improve guidance on how to measure impacts	Consider including information on indicators and how to measure the impacts and values of environmental and social issues.
11	Improve guidance of how to communicate use of ISO 26000	Consider giving guidance on self-assessment
12	Improve guidance on, and links between, the standard and relevant sustainable development initiatives and tools	For example the new UN Sustainable Development Goals, the EU Directive on non-financial reporting, Integrated Reporting Framework. Consider having linkage documents between ISO 26000 and other documents in annex. Consider updating Annex A and include guidance where necessary in the text of the standard
13	Update list of voluntary initiatives and the bibliography	Consider developing an online annex